			/W
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	( )
<u> </u>	10/812,339	LAWRENCE ET AL	
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Krystyna Suchecki	2882	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeal All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this app or other appropriate communication GHTS. This application is subject to	olication. If not include will be mailed in due	ed course. <b>THIS</b>
1. $\boxtimes$ This communication is responsive to <u>Amendment filed 08/0</u>	<u>04/05</u> .		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-51</u> .	·		
<ul> <li>3. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un</li> <li>a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the:</li> <li>1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> </ul>			
Certified copies of the priority documents have			
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have			tion from the
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	currents have been received in this	national stage applica	ion irom the
* Certified copies not received:			
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" on noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		complying with the red	uirements
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submit INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give			OTICE OF
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.			
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers	on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	948) attached	
1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date			
(b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date	s Amendment / Comment or in the C	ffice action of	
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1. each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the	84(c)) should be written on the drawir ne header according to 37 CFR 1.121(c	ngs in the front (not the d).	back) of
6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT I	sit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL n FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICA	nust be submitted. N AL MATERIAL.	lote the
Attachment(s)	_		
1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5. Notice of Informal P		)-152)
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6.  ☐ Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Dat		
3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0-Paper No./Mail Date			
4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	8. 🛛 Examiner's Stateme	nt of Reasons for Allo	wance
or biological material	9.		

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-51 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: claims 1, 15, 22, 28, 37 and 45 contain allowable subject matter for at least the reason that the prior art of record fails to teach or reasonably suggest a system or method for generating Xrays comprising a source or generation of high repetition rate or mode-locked, highenergy optical pulses in a first direction in a ring-shaped cavity and a source or generation of a pulsed electron beam for propagation in a second direction opposite to the first direction in the ring-shaped cavity for producing X-rays in the second direction. as claimed. While ring-shaped laser cavities are known from Sprangle, a laser is rejuvenated within the ring-shaped cavity, and not generated from a source. An existing laser beam exits device 42, but the source, and point of generation, of the laser beam is outside the ring, at 22. While both Srinivasan-Rao (SR) and Erbert teach amplification means, they do not teach amplification within a ring-shaped cavity. SR does not, by design, re-circulate laser light, and Erbert teaches an alternative stretch-and-compress arrangement that includes amplification. The type of source used by Sprangle does not suggest, contrary to prior assertions by Examiner, the combination of amplification means with the table-top terawatt later of Sprangle. The rejuvenation does not constitute a [re]generation, and Sprangle's high-power source does not suggest an additional generation of laser light within the cavity.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Krystyna Suchecki whose telephone number is (571) 272-2495. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Glick can be reached on (571) 272-2490. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

W ks

> Craig E. Church Primary Examiner

Traing & Church